arXiv:1405.2257v1 [math.RA] 9 May 2014

INHOMOGENEOUS LINEAR EQUATION IN ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRA

GABRIEL PIETRZKOWSKI

Abstract. We consider a complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ over a commutative ring. Finding the unique solution of a non-homogeneous linear algebraic equation in this algebra, we generalize Spitzer's identity in both commutative and non-commutative cases. As an application, considering the Rota-Baxter algebra of power series in one variable with q-integral as the Rota-Baxter operator, we show certain Eulerian identities.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative ring and R be a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ assume we have a linear operator $P : R \to R$ satisfying

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Then (R, P) is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ, and P is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ. There are plenty of examples of Rota-Baxter algebras in different mathematical areas. The $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of analytic functions $C^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ on the real line, with the integral operator is a R-B algebra of weight 0 (see section 2 for more details). Also the algebra of linear operators with the integral operator is a non-commutative R-B algebra of weight 0. The algebra of formal power series in variable t with rational coefficients $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, with the q-integral is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 1 (see section 4)[13, 9]. The algebra of sequences (a_n) with values in $\mathbf{k}$ and the partial sum operator $P(a_1, a_2, \cdots) = (a_1, a_1+a_2, a_1+a_2+a_3, \cdots)$ is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight -1 [2, 13, 9]. The algebra of functions $\varphi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x)$, where $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function of bounded variation such that $\lim_{x\to-\infty} F(x) = F(-\infty)$ exists, with an operator $P(\varphi)(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{itx} dF(x) + F(0) - F(-\infty)$ is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight -1 [15, 9]. Many other examples can be found in [13, 15, 9, 12].

Among many interesting results concerning Rota-Baxter algebras (see a monograph [9]) there is a founding one, Spitzer's identity

$$\exp\left(P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a)\right)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a \cdots P(a)}_{n} \cdots),$$

where $a \in R$. The name comes after Frank Spitzer who in 1956 gave expression for the characteristic function of a class of random variables using combinatorial tools [16]. Four years later Glen Baxter [2] realized that the identity given by Spitzer can by obtained using integral operator satisfying (1). Actually he proved the above identity for any operator satisfying (1) in a given algebra, and then applied this result for the above mentioned Rota-Baxter algebra of functions φ. During the next forty years the result of Baxter has been discussed in a narrow range and the greatest contribution has been made by Gian Carlo Rota [13, 14, 15, 12], Pierre Cartier [4], John F.C. Kingman [10], Frederic V. Atkinson [1], and a few others. The big breakthrough has been started in 2000 by Li Guo, and later on by his collaborators, who investigate Rota-Baxter algebras in many different directions. From the point of view of this article the most important achievement is a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13P99, 16W99, 16Z05.

Key words and phrases. Rota-Baxter algebra, Rota-Baxter operator, Eulerian identity, Spitzer's identity.

generalization of Spitzer's identity to non-commutative Rota-Baxter algebras given by Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, Li Guo and Dirk Kreimer in the context of renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory [5] (see also [7, 9]).

In this article we derive a certain generalization of Spitzer's identity. Namely, for a commutative Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) of weight λ we show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1}_{n} P((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots) = e^{P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)} P\left(e^{-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)} a_0\right) \tag{2}$$

if $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ is not a zero divisor in R, and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1}_{n} P(a_0)\cdots) = e^{P(a_1)} P\left(e^{-P(a_1)} a_0\right) \tag{3}$$

if $\lambda = 0$. Also for a non-commutative Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) of weight λ (assuming $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ is not a zero divisor in R) we show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1}_{n} P((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots) = e^{\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right)} P\left(e^{\left(-P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right)} a_0\right) \tag{4}$$

where χ_λ is the BCH-recursion introduced in [5] and defined by (14) in section 2. Similarly, for a non-commutative Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) of weight 0 it occurs that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1}_{n} P(a_0))\cdots) = e^{P(\chi_0(a_1))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_0(a_1))} a_0\right). \tag{5}$$

where χ_0 is the zero BCH-recursion introduced in [7] and defined by (18) in section 2.

These results are collected in Theorems 1 and 2 and Corollary 2.1 in section 2. They are preceded by the definition of complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra, certain facts used in the article, and the origin of these results. In section 2 we also post Propositions 1 and 2 containing certain Eulerian identities. In section 3 we prove the two stated theorems, and in section 4 we apply Theorem 1 in the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, with q-integral as the Rota-Baxter operator, to show the Eulerian identities stated in the propositions.

2. Results

We begin by introducing the most important notions and facts about Rota-Baxter algebras (we will follow [9]). Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative ring and R be a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. If there exist $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ and a linear operator $P : R \to R$ satisfying the Rota-Baxter equation

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy) \tag{6}$$

for all $x, y \in R$, then (R, P) is called a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ, and P is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ. If R is additionally commutative, we say (R, P) is a commutative Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ. Set $\tilde{P} : R \to R$,

$$\tilde{P}(x) = -\lambda x - P(x).$$

Then $(R, \tilde{P})$ is also a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ, as one can easily check.

In order to consider the exponential and the logarithmic functions in the algebra we need to assure convergence of series. Therefore we assume R is a filtered algebra, namely for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ there exists a non-unitary subalgebra $R_n \subset R$ such that $R = R_0$, $R_{n+1} \subset R_n$, $\bigcap_n R_n = \{0\}$, and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ we have $R_n R_m \subset R_{n+m}$. In the filtered algebra we define a metric $d : R \times R \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$d(x, y) = \inf\left\{ 2^{-n} \mid x - y \in R_n \right\}.$$

We say that an algebra R with filtration $\{ R_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \}$ is a complete filtered algebra if d is a complete metric on R. We say that (R, R_n, P) is a complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ if R is a complete filtered algebra and $P(R_n) \subset R_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. It is easy to see that for a sequence $x_n \in R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is convergent in R. Therefore, the exponential $\exp : R_1 \to R$ and the logarithmic $\log : 1 + R_1 \to R$ functions given by the standard formulas

$$e^x = \exp x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \qquad \log(1+x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} x^n$$

are well defined. We will use a well known facts that $\exp\log(1+x) = 1+x$ and $\log\exp(x) = x$ for all $x \in R_1$.

We are now ready to present results of this article. The most significant identity satisfied in a (commutative) Rota-Baxter algebra is Spitzer's identity introduced first by Spitzer [16] in the probability theory, and then abstract-algebraically described by Baxter [2] and Rota [13]. In a modern language Spitzer's identity can be stated as follows [9]. Let (R, R_n, P) be a commutative complete Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ such that λ is not a zero divisor of R, and $a \in R_1$ is fixed. Then the equation

$$b = 1 + P(ab) \tag{7}$$

has a unique solution

$$b = \exp\left(P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a)\right)\right),$$

where, here and throughout the article, we use an abbreviation

$$\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^{n-1}}{n} a^n,$$

(so we do not need to assume λ to be invertible in $\mathbf{k}$).

Iterating the equation (7), it is easy to see that

$$b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a \cdots P(a)}_{n} \cdots).$$

Spitzer's identity is thus

$$\exp\left(P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a)\right)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a \cdots P(a)}_{n} \cdots). \tag{8}$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then Spitzer's identity is even simpler

$$\exp\left(P(a)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a \cdots P(a)}_{n} \cdots).$$

Let us look at a certain example. Let $C^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of analytic functions on the real line, $C^\omega(\mathbb{R})_n \subset C^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ the functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(0) = f'(0) = \ldots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$), and $P_\int : C^\omega(\mathbb{R}) \to C^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ be the integral operator given by

$$P_\int(f)(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds. \tag{9}$$

Using integration by parts formula, it easy to prove that $(C^\omega(\mathbb{R}), C^\omega(\mathbb{R})_n, P_\int)$ is a commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0. The equation (7) in this algebra reads as

$$b(t) = 1 + \int_0^t a_1(s)b(s)ds.$$

By the Picard iteration of this integral equation we get

$$b(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P_\int(a \cdots P_\int(a)}_{n} \cdots)(t),$$

On the other hand, differentiating it, we obtain a non-homogeneous non-autonomous linear differential equation

$$\dot{b}(t) = a_1(t)b(t), \qquad b(0) = 1,$$

with a well known solution

$$b(t) = e^{P_\int(a_1)(t)}$$

Observe that Spitzer's identity in $(C^\omega(\mathbb{R}), C^\omega(\mathbb{R})_n, P_\int)$ is nothing else but comparing these two formulas for $b(t)$. Now, in a commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra (R, R_n, P) of weight 0, consider the following generalization of the equation (7)

$$b = P(a_0) + P(a_1 b), \tag{10}$$

where $a_0, a_1 \in R_1$ are fixed. In the special case $(C^\omega(\mathbb{R}), C^\omega(\mathbb{R})_n, P_\int)$, this equation is

$$b(t) = \int_0^t a_0(s)ds + \int_0^t a_1(s)b(s)ds.$$

Once again, by the Picard iteration we obtain

$$b(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P_\int(a_1 \cdots P_\int(a_1}_{n} P_\int(a_0)) \cdots)(t),$$

and on the other hand, differentiating it, we obtain a non-homogeneous non-autonomous linear differential equation

$$\dot{b}(t) = a_0(t) + a_1(t)b(t), \qquad b(0) = 0,$$

with a well known solution

$$b(t) = e^{P_\int(a_1)(t)} P_\int(e^{-P_\int(a_1)} a_0)(t).$$

This suggests that the solution of (10) for any Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0 is

$$b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1}_{n} P(a_0)) \cdots)(t) = \exp(P(a_1))P(\exp(-P(a_1))a_0).$$

A natural question arise: if this formula can be extended for $\lambda \neq 0$. The answer is positive if we modify a_0 by a factor $1 + \lambda a_1$. We state this result in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let (R, R_n, P) be a commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ, and $a_0, a_1 \in R_1$ are fixed. Then the equation*

$$b = P((1 + \lambda a_1)a_0) + P(a_1 b) \tag{11}$$

(i) has a unique solution

$$b = \exp\left(P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right) P\left(\exp\left(-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right) a_0\right) \tag{12}$$

in case λ is not a zero divisor of R, and moreover the equality (2) *is satisfied.*

(ii) has a unique solution

$$b = \exp(P(a_1))P(\exp(-P(a_1))a_0) \tag{13}$$

in case $\lambda = 0$, and moreover the equality (3) *is satisfied.*

As an application of Theorem 1 we show an Eulerian identity.

Proposition 1. *For $1 \neq q \in \mathbb{Q}$ the following equality holds true*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n-1}t^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} = (1-t)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^n t}.$$

For a non-commutative algebra the theorem must be modified. Following [5, 6, 7, 9] in a non-commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ (λ is not a zero divisor of R) we introduce the BCH-recursion operator $\chi_\lambda : R_1 \to R_1$ which is defined as the unique solution of the algebraic equation

$$\chi_\lambda(a) = a + \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{BCH}\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda(a)\right), \tilde{P}\left(\chi_\lambda(a)\right)\right) \tag{14}$$

for $a \in R_1$. Here $\mathrm{BCH} : R_1 \times R_1 \to R_1$ is the celebrated Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff power series in a non-commutative algebra given as the unique solution of

$$\exp(x)\exp(y) = \exp\left(x + y + \mathrm{BCH}(x,y)\right),$$

for all $x, y \in R_1$. The operator χ_λ is introduced so that the formula

$$\exp(-\lambda a) = \exp\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda(a)\right)\right)\exp\left(\tilde{P}\left(\chi_\lambda(a)\right)\right) \tag{15}$$

be fulfilled for all $a \in R_1$. Having χ_λ we can state the analogue of Theorem 1 in the non-commutative algebra.

Theorem 2. *Let (R, R_n, P) be a (non-commutative) complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ which is not a zero divisor of R, and $a_0, a_1 \in R_1$ are fixed. Then*

(i) the equation

$$b = P((1+\lambda a_1)a_0) + P(a_1 b) \tag{16}$$

has the unique solution

$$b = \exp\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right) P\left(\exp\left(-P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right) a_0\right), \tag{17}$$

and moreover the equality (4) *is satisfied;*

(ii) the equation

$$b = \tilde{P}(a_0(1+\lambda a_1)) - \tilde{P}(b a_1)$$

has the unique solution

$$b = P\left(a_0 \exp\left(-P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right)\right)\exp\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right).$$

If $\lambda = 0$ the theorem must be additionally modified. As was pointed out in [7, 3, 8] the BCH-recursion χ_λ reduces for $\lambda \to 0$ to the Magnus recursion [11]. Namely, for a non-commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0 the zero BCH-recursion operator $\chi_0 : R_1 \to R_1$ is given by the unique solution of the algebraic equation

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(a) &= \frac{\mathrm{ad}_{P(\chi_0(a))}}{\exp(\mathrm{ad}_{P(\chi_0(a))}) - 1}(a) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} \left(\mathrm{ad}_{P(\chi_0(a))}\right)^k\right)(a),\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for each $a \in R_1$. Here, $\mathrm{ad}_x(y) = [x, y] = xy - yx$ is the linear addjoint operator, and B_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are the Bernoulli numbers. Then the solution of the equation (7) is $b = \exp(P(\chi_0(a)))$ [7], so that $\exp\left(P\left(\chi_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)\right)\right)$ reduces to $\exp(P(\chi_0(a)))$ in the limit $\lambda \to 0$. Therefore we can state the following corollary from Theorem 2.

Corollary 2.1. *Let (R, R_n, P) be a (non-commutative) complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0, and $a_0, a_1 \in R_1$ are fixed. Then the equation*

$$b = P(a_0) + P(a_1 b)$$

has the unique solution

$$b = \exp(P(\chi_0(a_1)))P\left(\exp(-P(\chi_0(a_1)))a_0\right), \tag{19}$$

and moreover the equality (5) *is satisfied.*

In the context of the algebra of linear operators, considered by Wilhelm Magnus in [11], this corollary is quite clear. Indeed, knowing (by Magnus) that the solution of a homogeneous linear equation

$$\dot{Y}(t) = A_1(t)Y(t), \qquad Y(0) = \mathrm{Id},$$

is $Y(t) = \exp(\Omega(t))$, where $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\dot{\Omega}(t) = \frac{\mathrm{ad}_{\Omega(t)}}{\exp(\mathrm{ad}_{\Omega(t)})-1}(A_1)$, $\Omega(0) = 0$, it is known that for an inhomogeneous equation

$$\dot{B}(t) = A_0(t) + A_1(t)B(t), \qquad B(0) = 0, \tag{20}$$

the solution is

$$B(t) = \exp(\Omega(t)) \int_0^t \exp(-\Omega(s))A_0(s)\, ds. \tag{21}$$

Now $P_\int$ defined as in (9) is also a Rota-Baxter operator in the space of linear operators, and one can conclude, as observed in [7], that $\Omega(t) = P_\int(\chi_0(A_0))$. Therefore, we see that (21) is equivalent to (19). Integrating (20) (actually using $P_\int$) we have Corollary 2.1 for $P = P_\int$.

Before we end this section let us look at a certain interesting case of the equation (11). Namely, assume $(1 + \lambda a_1)a_0 = -a_1$, so that $a_0 = -(1 + \lambda a_1)^{-1}a_1$, where we abbreviate

$$(1 + \lambda a_1)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda a_1)^n.$$

In this case formula (2) reads as

$$-e^{P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)} P\left(e^{-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)}(1 + \lambda a_1)^{-1}a_1\right) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{n} \cdots).$$

By Spitzer's identity the sum on the right side is equal to $\exp(P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right))$. Using this fact we can transform this equality into the following form

$$1 - P\left(e^{-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)}(1+\lambda a_1)^{-1}a_1\right) = e^{-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)}. \tag{22}$$

This implies that $e^{-P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)\right)}$ is a solution of an equation

$$d = 1 + P(-(1+\lambda a_1)^{-1}a_1 d). \tag{23}$$

But from Spitzer's identity this equation has also a solution $e^{P\left(\lambda^{-1}\log(1-(1+\lambda a_1)^{-1}a_1)\right)}$. Both formulas coincides since

$$-\log(1+\lambda a_1) = \log\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda a_1}\right) = \log\left(1 - \frac{\lambda a_1}{1+\lambda a_1}\right).$$

This reasoning explains roughly the occurrence of the factor $1+\lambda a_1$ in the first component of the right side of (11). It is needed because the "inverse dynamics" to the "dynamics" generated by the homogeneous equation

$$c = 1 + P(a_1 c) \tag{24}$$

is given by the "dynamics" generated by the equation (23). Observe also that with the above remarks we can say that the solution of the equation (11) is $b = cP(da_0)$, where c and d are the unique solutions of the equations (24) and (23), respectively.

It occurs that formula (22) gives another Eulerian identity.

Proposition 2. *For $1 \neq q \in \mathbb{Q}$ the following equality holds true*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n t^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n t}.$$

3. Proofs of Theorems

In this section we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In what follows we use formula (see [10, 9])

$$\lambda P(u)^n = P\left(\left(-\tilde{P}(u)\right)^n - \left(P(u)\right)^n\right) \tag{25}$$

fulfilled for every $u \in R$, where (R, P) is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ with the assumption that λ is not a zero divisor of R. On the other hand for $\lambda = 0$ we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (R, P) be a commutative Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0. Then for every $a \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ we have*

(i) $\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k}\cdots) = \frac{1}{k!}\left(P(a)\right)^k$;

(ii) $\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^l \underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k+1-l}\cdots)\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{l}\cdots) = (-1)^k \underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k+1}\cdots)$.

Proof. We prove (i) by induction on k. For $k = 0$ the equality is obvious. Assume

$$\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{n}\cdots) = \frac{1}{n!}\left(P(a)\right)^n$$

is satisfied for all $n \leq k$. Using the induction hypothesis and then Rota-Baxter formula (6) for $x = a(P(a))^{k-1}$ and $y = a$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k+1}\cdots) &= \frac{1}{k!}P\left(a(P(a))^k\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{k!}\left(P\left(a(P(a))^{k-1}\right)P(a) - P\left(P\left(a(P(a))^{k-1}\right)a\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis twice for both components we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{1}{k!}\left((k-1)!\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k}\cdots)P(a) - (k-1)!\underbrace{P(a\cdots P(a)}_{k+1}\cdots)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1}{k!}\left(P(a)\right)^{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)!}\left(P(a)\right)^{k+1}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!}\left(P(a)\right)^{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of (i).

For (ii) it is enough to use result from (i) and a well known formula

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\frac{(-1)^l}{(k+1-l)!\,l!} = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. First, let us prove the uniqueness of a solution in both cases. Suppose $b, b' \in R$ satisfy (11). Then $b - b' = P(a_1(b-b'))$. Since $a_1 \in R_1$, by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, we get that $b - b' \in R_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By completeness of R, $b - b' \in \bigcap_n R_n = \{0\}$. So $b = b'$.

Now we prove (i), i.e., that (12) is a solution of (11) in case λ is not a zero divisor of R. Let $u = \lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)$, so that $a_1 = \lambda^{-1}(\exp(\lambda u) - 1)$. In order to show that (12) is a solution of (11) it is enough to show

$$\lambda e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right) = \lambda P(e^{\lambda u}a_0) + P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u}-1\right)e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\right). \tag{26}$$

Observe that

$$\left(e^{\lambda u}-1\right)e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right) = \left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}\right)P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right).$$

Then, using (6) for $x = e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}$ and $y = e^{-P(u)}a_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u}-1\right)e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\right) &= P\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}\right)P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\\ &\quad - P\left(P\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}\right)e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\\ &\quad - P\left(\lambda\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}\right)e^{-P(u)}a_0\right).\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of exp and formula (25) we compute that

$$P\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)} - e^{P(u)}\right) = \lambda\left(e^{P(u)} - 1\right).$$

Therefore

$$P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u}-1\right)e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\right)= \tag{27}$$
$$=\lambda\left(e^{P(u)}-1\right)P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)-\lambda P\left(\left(e^{P(u)}-1\right)e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)$$
$$-P\left(\lambda\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)}-e^{P(u)}\right)e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)$$
$$=\lambda\left(e^{P(u)}-1\right)P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)-\lambda P\left(\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(u)}-1\right)e^{-P(u)}a_0\right).$$

Since $-\tilde{P}(u)-P(u)=\lambda u$, it is easy to see that the right side transforms to

$$P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u}-1\right)e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)\right)=\lambda\left(e^{P(u)}P\left(e^{-P(u)}a_0\right)-P\left(e^{\lambda u}a_0\right)\right).$$

This is exactly (26). Finally, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1}_{n}P((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots)$$

is a solution of (11). Since n-th component of this sum is in R_{n+1}, the series is convergent. From the uniqueness of the solution we conclude (2).

In order to prove (ii), i.e., that (13) is a solution of (11) for $\lambda=0$ we proceed slightly differently. By Lemma 3.1 (i) and the definition of exp the formula (13) is equivalent to

$$b=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{n}\cdots)P\left((-1)^m\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{m}\cdots)a_0\right)$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^l\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{k-l}\cdots)P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{l}\cdots)a_0\right).$$

On the other hand, by iterating the considered equation (11) it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1}_{k}P(a_0))\cdots)$$

is also a solution of (11). To complete the proof of (ii) we show, using induction on k, that

$$\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1}_{k}P(a_0))\cdots)=\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^l\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{k-l}\cdots)P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{l}\cdots)a_0\right).$$

For $k=0$ on both sides there is $P(a_0)$. Assuming the above equality is correct, we show

$$P\left(a_1\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1}_{k}P(a_0))\cdots)\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^lP\left(a_1\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{k-l}\cdots)P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1\cdots P(a_1)}_{l}\cdots)a_0\right)\right)$$

From the R-B formula (6) for $x = a_1 \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{k-l} \cdots)$ and $y = \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{l} \cdots)a_0$ the right side is equal

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^l \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{k+1-l} \cdots) P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{l} \cdots)a_0 \right)$$
$$- \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^l P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{k+1-l} \cdots) \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{l} \cdots)a_0 \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.1(ii) for the second line we conclude that

$$\underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1} P(a_0)) \cdots) = \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} (-1)^l \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{k+1-l} \cdots) P\left(\underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1)}_{l} \cdots)a_0 \right),$$

which is what we want to prove.

Finally, the generalized Spitzer's identities (2) and (3) holds true by repeated use of (11). □

Proof of Theorem 2. The uniqueness of the solution in both cases comes by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.

The proof of (i) is also similar to that of Theorem 1. In fact we only need to take care on the order of factors and use formulas

$$e^{\lambda u} e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} = e^{-\tilde{P}(\chi_\lambda(u))}, \tag{28}$$

$$e^{\lambda u} = e^{-\tilde{P}(\chi_\lambda(u))} e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))}, \qquad u \in R_1, \tag{29}$$

when needed. Note that they both comes from the equality (15). Let us sketch the proof briefly. As previously, we assume $u = \lambda^{-1}\log(1+\lambda a_1)$, so that $a_1 = \lambda^{-1}(\exp(\lambda u) - 1)$ and we want to show

$$\lambda e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right) = \lambda P(e^{\lambda u} a_0) + P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u} - 1\right) e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right)\right). \tag{30}$$

Using (28) we get

$$\left(e^{\lambda u} - 1\right) e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right) = \left(e^{-\tilde{P}(\chi_\lambda(u))} - e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))}\right) P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right).$$

Now we do the same as in the previous proof changing $P(u)$ and $\tilde{P}(u)$ into $P(\chi_\lambda(u))$ and $\tilde{P}(\chi_\lambda(u))$, respectively, until we obtain an analog of the equation (27)

$$P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u} - 1\right) e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right)\right) =$$
$$\lambda\left(e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} - 1\right) P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right) - \lambda P\left(\left(e^{-\tilde{P}(\chi_\lambda(u))} - 1\right) e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right).$$

Now, using (29) in the second summand and simplifying the right side we get

$$P\left(\left(e^{\lambda u} - 1\right) e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right)\right) = \lambda\left(e^{P(\chi_\lambda(u))} P\left(e^{-P(\chi_\lambda(u))} a_0\right) - P\left(e^{\lambda u} a_0\right)\right).$$

So we obtain (30). Now, as previously it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(a_1 \cdots P(a_1} P((1+\lambda a_1)a_0)) \cdots)$$

is a solution of (16), and since n-th component of this sum is in R_{n+1}, the series is convergent. From the uniqueness of the solution we conclude (4).

By repeated use of (16) we conclude that if λ is not a zero divisor of R, then the generalized non-commutative Spitzer's identity (4) holds true.

The proof of (ii) is quite the same and we omit it. □

4. Eulerian identities

In this section we prove Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. Let $1 \neq q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Consider the algebra of formal power series in variable t with rational coefficients $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, and the q-integral $P_q : \mathbb{Q}[[t]] \to \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ given by $P_q(f)(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(q^k t)$ so that $P_q(t^n)(t) = \frac{q^n t^n}{1-q^n}$. It is known that $(\mathbb{Q}[[t]], P_q)$ is a commutative Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 1 (see [9, Example 1.1.8]). Take $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n$ as power series of order not less than n, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k t^k \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Q},\, i = n, n+1, \dots \right\}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{Q}[[t]], \mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n, P_q)$ is a commutative complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 1. We will use the following Eulerian identity

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} t^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n t)\,, \tag{31}$$

which is Spitzer's identity (8) in this algebra applied for $a = t$ (see [9, Example 1.3.7]). In particular, it will be important that

$$\exp\left(P_q\left(\log(1+t)\right)\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n t)\,. \tag{32}$$

Proof of Proposition 1. Let us compute the outcome of (2) in $(\mathbb{Q}[[t]], \mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n, P_q)$ for $a_0 = a_1 = t$. The left side of (2). First $P_q((1+a_1)a_0) = P_q(t+t^2) = \frac{qt}{1-q} + \frac{q^2t^2}{1-q^2}$. Using induction on n it is easy to see that

$$\underbrace{P_q(a_1 \cdots P_q(a_1}_{n} P_q((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots) = \frac{q^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+1})} t^{n+1} + \frac{q^{\frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2}-1}}{(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^{n+2})} t^{n+2}.$$

Summing up these expressions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P_q(a_1 \cdots P_q(a_1}_{n} P_q((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots) &= \frac{q}{1-q}t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+1})} \left(1 + \frac{1-q}{q}\right) t^{n+1} \\
&= \frac{q}{1-q}t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} t^n \\
&= -(1+t) + 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} t^n \\
&= -(1+t) + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n t)\,, \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from an Eulerian identity (31).

The right side of (2). The first factor is just the formula (32). According to the second factor, lets compute first

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(-P_q(\log(1+t))\right) &= \exp\left(P_q\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n}t^n\right)\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-t)^n}{n}\frac{q^n}{1-q^n}\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-t)^n}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}q^{nk}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-q^kt)^n}{n}\right)\\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\log\left(1+q^kt\right)\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1+q^kt}.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In order to compute $P_q\left(\exp\left(-P_q(\log(1+t))\right)t\right)$ we need the following lemma (it roughly follows from the unproven Example 1.3.8 in [9]).

Lemma 4.1. *It follows that*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1+q^kt} = (1+t)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-t)^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}\right)$$

Proof. Let a linear operator $\bar{P}_q : \mathbb{Q}[[t]] \to \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ be given on the homogeneous polynomials by $\bar{P}_q(t^n)(t) = \frac{t^n}{1-q^n}$. It is known that $(\mathbb{Q}[[t]], \mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n, \bar{P}_q)$ is a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight -1 (see [9, Example 1.1.9]) and it is easy to see that it is complete filtered. In this algebra we use Spitzer's identity (8) for $a = t$. Proceeding like in (34) we obtain

$$\exp\left(\bar{P}_q\left(-\log(1-t)\right)\right) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^kt}.$$

By induction on n we also get that

$$\underbrace{\bar{P}_q(a_1\cdots\bar{P}_q(a_1}_{n}\bar{P}_q((1+\lambda a_1)a_0))\cdots) = \frac{t^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}.$$

From (8) we therefore have

$$\frac{1}{1-t}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^kt} = 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}.$$

Taking $-t$ instead of t we obtain the formula. □

We continue the proof of Proposition 1. Using this lemma and equality (34) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}P_q\left(\exp\left(-P_q(\log(1+t))\right)t\right) &= P_q\left(t(1+t)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-t)^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}\right)\right)\\ &= P_q\left(t+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}q^{n+1}t^{n+2}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+1})}\right)\\ &= \frac{qt}{1-q}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}q^{2n+3}t^{n+2}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+2})}\\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n+1}(-t)^{n+1}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+1})}.\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Putting (32), (33), (35) into (2) we get

$$-(1+t)+\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^n t)=\left(-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n+1}(-t)^{n+1}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n+1})}\right)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^n t)\,.$$

Changing $-t$ to t, and after simple transformations we conclude that

$$1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n-1}t^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}=(1-t)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^n t}.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2. Let us compute (22) in the R-B algebra $(\mathbb{Q}[[t]],\mathbb{Q}[[t]]_n,P_q)$ for $a_1=t$. By (34), Lemma 3.1, and a straightforward calculation we see that

$$\begin{aligned}P_q\left(e^{-P_q(\log(1+t))}\frac{a_1}{1+a_1}\right)&=P_q\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1+q^n t}\right)\\&=P_q\left(t\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-t)^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}\right)\right)\\&=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^n(-t)^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the formula (22) reads as

$$1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^n(-t)^n}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1+q^n t}.$$

Changing t for $-t$ gives the result. □

5. Concluding remarks

In this article we proved a generalization of Spitzer's identity in a complete filtered Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ. The idea comes from the formula for the solution of non-homogeneous linear differential equation. This suggest that other formulas in Rota-Baxter algebras can also be derived if we imitate solutions of other types of differential equations, like Bernoulli equation, Riccati equation, Abel equation, higher order linear equations, etc.

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by the Polish Ministry of Research and Higher Education grant NN201 607540, 2011-2014.

References

[1] Atkinson, F. Some aspects of Baxter's functional equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 7*, 1 (1963), 1–30.

[2] Baxter, G. An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity. *Pacific J. Math 10*, 3 (1960), 731–742.

[3] Carinena, J. F., Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Figueroa, H., and Gracia-Bond, J. M. Hopf algebras in dynamical systems theory. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics 4*, 04 (2007), 577–646.

[4] Cartier, P. On the structure of free Baxter algebras. *Advances in Mathematics 9*, 2 (1972), 253–265.

[5] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Guo, L., and Kreimer, D. Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 37*, 45 (2004), 11037.

[6] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Guo, L., and Kreimer, D. Integrable renormalization II: the general case. In *Annales Henri Poincare* (2005), vol. 6, Springer, pp. 369–395.
[7] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Guo, L., and Manchon, D. Birkhoff type decompositions and the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion. *Communications in mathematical physics 267*, 3 (2006), 821–845.
[8] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., and Manchon, D. A Magnus-and Fer-type formula in dendriform algebras. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics 9*, 3 (2009), 295–316.
[9] Guo, L. *An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra*, vol. 2. International Press, 2012.
[10] Kingman, J. Spitzer's identity and its use in probability theory. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society 1*, 1 (1962), 309–316.
[11] Magnus, W. On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics 7*, 4 (1954), 649–673.
[12] Rota, G.-C. Baxter operators, an introduction, Kung JPS, Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory Papers and Commentaries, 1995.
[13] Rota, G.-C. Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities. I. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 75*, 2 (1969), 325–329.
[14] Rota, G.-C. Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities. II. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 75*, 2 (1969), 330–334.
[15] Rota, G.-C., and Smith, D. Fluctuation theory and Baxter algebras. In *Symposia Mathematica* (1972), vol. 9, pp. 179–201.
[16] Spitzer, F. A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 82*, 2 (1956), 323–339.

University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland